An emerging multi-paradigm for representing mobile applications’ architectures using heterogeneous conceptual bricks
Afrah Djeddar, Hakim Bendjenna, Abdelkrim Amirat, Philippe Roose, Lawrence Chung

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-02436893
https://hal-univ-pau.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02436893
Submitted on 13 Jan 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
An emerging multi-paradigm for representing mobile applications’ architectures using heterogeneous conceptual bricks

Afrah Djeddar* and Hakim Bendjenna
Laboratory of Mathematics, Informatics and Systems (LAMIS),
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Larbi Tebessi,
Tebessa 12002, Algeria
Email: afrah-djeddar@hotmail.fr
Email: hbendjenna@yahoo.fr
*Corresponding author

Abdelkrim Amirat
Laboratory of Informatics and Mathematics (LIM),
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,
University of Souk Ahras,
Souk Ahras 41000, Algeria
Email: abdelkrim.amirat@yahoo.com

Philippe Roose
LIUPPA Laboratory,
Department of IUT de Bayonne,
University of Pau et de pays de l’adour,
Pau 64000, France
Email: philippe.roose@iutbayonne.univ-pau.fr

Lawrence Chung
Department of Computer Science,
University of Texas at Dallas,
Dallas, TX, USA
Email: chung@utdallas.edu

Abstract: The mobile applications have enjoyed explosive growth these last years. Taking advantage from these existing softwares, the constituent software bricks to compose such mobile application can take different implementation forms and manipulate heterogeneous data by dint of user’s requirements or its execution context. However, the mobile software developer confronts difficulty to compose already existing software entities because of their heterogeneity. An emerging need is then to have a new modelling space to support the development of heterogeneous mobile applications. In view of this fact, this paper discusses the proposal of a multi-paradigm for representing mobile applications based-on heterogeneous conceptual bricks including their architectural conception and the specification of the necessary adaptation mediators. The proposed paradigm aims to deal with the heterogeneity presented by the constituent conceptual bricks and the execution environment of the final product. A conceptual description of a mobile application baptised ShopReview is presented to show the usability of the proposed paradigm.
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1 Introduction

The massive adoption of mobile devices to perform our tasks in the daily life proves the exponential growth of mobile applications and their development (Ickin et al., 2012; Jones, 2013; Statista, 2015). These mobile devices are characterised by heterogeneous hardware and software configurations, present limited resources and have specific execution context (Chen et al., 2014). Thus, the constituent software entities of the desired mobile application can take different forms of implementation (e.g., components, services, etc.) or manipulate heterogeneous data owing to the user’s requirements. However, this heterogeneity forces software publishers to entirely redevelop their products for each target technology or confront a difficulty to compose the existing software entities in view of their heterogeneity, which generates unbearable additional costs in terms of time and money (Cugola et al., 2014). In view of this fact, MDA (Model-Driven Architecture) (Blanc and Salvatori, 2011) precepts are faced with this issue by producing PIM models (Platform Independent Model) for representing mobile applications in a technologically neutral way (Diaw et al., 2010) through what is called software architectures using specific ADLs (Architectural Description Languages) (Medvidovic et al., 1999; Medvidovic and Taylor, 2000). Nowadays, several principles, standards and practices are used for the architectural description of applications using different types of the constituent conceptual bricks. Among the most adopted paradigms dedicated to describe and represent the functional core of applications we find: the approach using services (SOSE) and the approach based-on components (CBSE) (Amirat et al., 2014).

To take advantage of the benefits presented by software components and services (Cai et al., 2000; Papazoglou and Van Den Heuvel, 2007), a combination between the based-components approach and services-oriented approach has appeared necessary. Therefore, several studies have focused on the technological aspect of heterogeneity management whether in terms of the implementation forms of the software bricks or the exchanged data between them where many multi-paradigm systems have thus emerged. The approaches which borrow and combine the conceptual and technical elements derived from...
CBSE and SOSE are qualified as hybrid. We cite among them those that accurately reflect the heterogeneity concept: SCA (Service Component Architecture) (Beisiegel, 2007) and SLCA (Service Lightweight Components Architecture) (Hourdin et al., 2008).

SCA allows defining architecture of components and services using components to manipulate the orchestration of services and thus create a composite service, while SLCA shows an architectural model for the composition of services based on the assembly of lightweight components. Taking advantage of these multi-paradigms, we propose in this study a new paradigm which enables to combine software entities regardless of their implementation forms while integrating, subsequently, necessary adaptation mediators to ensure the communication between the connected software bricks of different types and the compatibility of exchanged heterogeneous data. Thereby, this proposed multi-paradigm is devoted to compose heterogeneous mobile applications from the architectural perspective.

The different existing software entities offer different forms of implementations and handle heterogeneous data. For this reason, designers/developers are sometimes forced to combine heterogeneous entities to build mobile applications that meet users’ needs and that will be adaptable to their execution environments. The existing hybrid paradigms serve either to describe the composition of services using lightweight components (e.g. SLCA) or to manipulate the orchestration of services using components (e.g. SCA) but in some cases we need to describe our desired applications by combining any type of software entities in order to take advantage of their services independently of their implementation details. Our emerging multi-paradigm comes as a solution to fill this lack by allowing the composition of heterogeneous applications using conceptual bricks of different types (e.g. composition of services and components).

The remaining part of the paper is organised as follows: the next section introduces several representations dedicated to describe the functional core of applications and presents some research works studied in the literature to cope with the heterogeneity problem presented by these paradigms which concerned the exchanged data. Afterwards, the third section describes our multi-paradigm for modelling heterogeneous mobile applications while proposing a metamodel for the architectural description of their functional core. Then, in the fourth section, we implement the proposed metamodel and we explain the functioning of our paradigm, after that we introduce an example to show the applicability of this paradigm. Finally, Section 5 draws the conclusion and future scope of our research work.

2 Related works

2.1 Functional-core representations

Brown and Wallnau (1998) and Cai et al. (2000) attack the components-oriented programming, whose purpose is to build software systems using shelf components (COTS: Commercial Off-the-Shelf) and thus accelerate the software development process. An approach based-on software components (Weinreich and Sametinger, 2001) serves to capitalise the code in software entities called black boxes that are reusable and only software interfaces of exchange are known. There are several modelling languages called ADLs (Architecture Description Languages) devoted to describe software architecture of such application using software components. These description languages provide formalisms allowing a designer to model software’s specifications and development in a high level of abstraction without forgetting that ADLs are independent of any programming language and execution environment. Therefore, ADLs are a support for the description of the application’s structure (Soucé and Duchien, 2002). As examples of description languages for this kind of architectures we quote: Fractal (Bruneton et al., 2006), Wright (Allen et al., 1998), Darwin (Luckham and Vera, 1995), Rapid (Luckham et al., 1995), 2SADEL (Medvidovic et al., 1999), ACME (Garlan and Perry, 1995), and xADL2.0 (Daschof and Van Der Hoek, 2002).

A second approach for building applications and representing its functional core is based on Services-Oriented Architectures (SOA). In 2005, Srinivasan and Treadwell draw attention to the meaning of SOA which refers to the design of a system and not its implementation (Srinivasan and Treadwell, 2005). In 2007, the authors describe SOA as the logical way for designing software systems that provide services where a service is provided by a producer to benefit a customer (Papazoglou et al., 2007). Srinivasan and Treadwell (2005) and Papazoglou and Van Den Heuvel (2007) discuss several features for this type of software entities. These services communicate with their customers through transmitted messages and provided responses and don’t require describing the implementation details. The declaration of services on internet is web services where several languages have been addressed to describe this type of software entities. WSDL (Web Services Description Language) (Christensen et al., 2001) is a language based-on XML dedicated for describing web services which respect the WS * specification. OWL-S (Ontology Web Language for Services) (Martin et al., 2004) is a complement to the WSDL description that aims to add the semantic aspect of services. This language used to describe web services semantically following three parts: service profile, service model, service grounding (Maheswari and Karapgam, 2015).

2.2 Heterogeneous representations: multi-paradigms

CBSE and SOSE are two very similar paradigms which are dedicated to construct applications from existing software entities, components or services (Amirat et al., 2014). CBSE is based at the design phase on the notions configuration type and composite component type and on the runtime on their instances configurations and composite components. Nevertheless, the notion of abstract service exists in some approaches (Cavallaro et al., 2009). Most existing studies refer to a service as an entity of the runtime (Stojanovic and Dahayane, 2005) where the extension of the composite service through the composition mechanism is mainly in runtime.
Serval works are devoted to the composition of software entities of service type (Chemaa et al., 2015; Kalamegam and Zayaraz, 2016). Most of them consider the composite service as the execution, through a composition engine, of a collaborative schema between services where some others (Geebelen et al., 2008) introduced concepts of instantiation of collaborative schema from abstract template that describes them. Hock-Koon in his research work (Hock-Koon, 2011) has chosen to consider this representation similar to the OO (Object-Oriented) with types of collaborative schema as entities at the design phase and instances of collaborative schema as runtime entities which is the case on our research work. A critical that we bring to the architecture based-on components is its static character after the composition of the system. Indeed, once components selected and coupled are only with difficulty changed during execution (Brel, 2013).

To take advantage of benefits offered by software components and services, a combination of the approach components-based and services-oriented appeared necessary. Among the approaches that borrow and combine the conceptual and technical elements arising from the CBSE or SOSE we can find: SCA (Beisiegel et al., 2005), SLC (Houri et al., 2008), FROGi (Desertot et al., 2006)). SCA corresponds to the creation of service-oriented applications based-on SCA components assemblies. Another model classified as hybrid approaches is SLCA. Its main objective is to define a dynamic architecture for the composition of services by leveraging several existing paradigms: web services-oriented architecture, lightweight assembly of components and Events.

2.3 Heterogeneity management of exchanged data

The heterogeneity challenge cannot concern only the software bricks but it can also affect the exchanged data between these constitutive entities. In fact, several studies have focused on the technological aspect of the management of exchanged data heterogeneity.

Hock-Koon treated this issue for its proposed composite service (Hock-Koon and Oussalah, 2010). It brings together the concerns of invocation that reflect the triggering of the execution of the constituent services and mediation that represent the capacity of the composite to ensure proper understanding of data exchanged between its constituent services.

Kalasapure et al. (2007) propose a method for the composition of services which target the data heterogeneity problems. Services are organised in a graph that represents the set of possible compositions. During data incompatibility between services, the system uses the compositions graph for identifying a succession of services capable of ensuring the necessary changes on the data a priori incompatible.

Derdour in his research (Derdour et al., 2010a; Derdour et al., 2010b) attacked this problem for the multimedia software architectures. He declared that the heterogeneity problem is based on the flow of exchanged multimedia data (e.g. picture, sound, text). In view of this fact, he proposed a metamodel MMSA (Metamodel Multimedia Software Architecture) for multimedia architectures where this metamodel allows describing multimedia systems as a collection of components that handle different types and formats of multimedia data and interact with them via adapters. This model serves to facilitate the adaptation task between media of the same type (e.g. picture to picture), or between different types of media (e.g. text to sound).

3 Proposed paradigm

Software development for mobile environments through the reuse of the existing software entities is headed by user’s requirements and the context of the mobile device that will be used to implement the final product. The concrete software entities selected according to the execution context to implement the desired functionalities can be heterogeneous both in terms of their implementation forms or exchanged data between them. Thereby, the resulting application will be an application that reflects a set of software entities of different types and/or the exchanged data between them require some transformations to make them understandable.

If the related software entities cannot communicate directly due to the fact that the exchanged data between them are not understandable, we say that these related entities haven’t the same nature. By way of example, the functionality Acquire Photo, which serves to acquire an image of a product that we want to buy, provides an image of jpg type while the functionality Read Barcode, used to extract the product barcode from the acquired image, needs an image of WebP type so that it can function properly.

If the related software entities cannot communicate directly due to the incompatibility of their communication interfaces (i.e. heterogeneous interfaces), we say that these related software entities are not of the same type. By way of example, software entity of component type connected with another of service type.

For this reason, we propose in this paper a metamodel baptised HMA-AD (Heterogeneous Mobile Applications-Architectural Description) to describe heterogeneous mobile applications at the architectural level. The following subsections present respectively: a conceptual vision on the proposed description language (i.e. defining HMA-AD metamodel), the specification of the proposed adaptation mediators and the different types of composition treated by our multi-paradigm.

3.1 HMA-AD metamodel: heterogeneous mobile application-architectural description

In this section, we will present the proposed metamodel for modelling the functional core of heterogeneous mobile applications. The aim of this metamodel is to represent any mobile application whatever the implementation details of its constituent software entities. Thereby, it describes a formalism that allows the designer to perform a heterogeneous or homogeneous composition by means of concrete software bricks chosen to implement the required functionalities. A great
An emerging multi-paradigm advantage of the proposed description language is to use any type of the constituent software entities, i.e. don’t restrict the technology choice, while specifying and treating heterogeneity problems in the case of heterogeneous coordinations. So it gives the possibility to associate heterogeneous composition relations with adaptation mediators in order to overcome the encountered heterogeneity issues.

In our research work we consider that a software entity refers to an abstract action which takes as input a set of necessary parameters for its functioning and returns as output the desired result. This action should be executed according to a set of conditions that we have gathered in an execution profile. By way of example, a software entity X needs GPS service so it can function properly and 5 MB of capacity storage for correct deployment on the mobile device to be used. Therefore, we define a software entity as a quadruplet: the function to be carried-out, input data, output data and an execution profile which contains all necessary conditions for its execution.

Figure 1 shows the proposed metamodel. A mobile application (A) consists of a set of software entities (A1) connected together via connectors (A2). A software entity may be a component (A3), a service (A4), or a composite entity (A5). A software entity has input data (A6), output data (A7), and an execution profile (A8). Data exchange between the related entities is done via provided ports (A9) and required ports (A10) for entities of component type and through provided services (A11) and required services (A12) concerning entities of service type. The different composition relations (A2) between the constituent software entities are represented by precedence links (A13) and use links (A14), where these connectors will be attached with endogenous mediators (A15) or exogenous mediators (A16) in the case of heterogeneous coordination.

The precedence links indicate the invocation sequence of the identified software entities while the use links serve to define the collaboration schema of the exchanged data between them. Exogenous mediators will be attached to the precedence links, because they carry on the constituent objects of the application, whose objective is to overcome the heterogeneity between related software entities that don’t have the same implementation type; while endogenous mediators will be attached to the use links, because they carry on the data exchanged between the heterogeneity between two software entities of different nature that cannot communicate directly.

Furthermore, the proposed formalism for defining the architectural model of the heterogeneous mobile application is dedicated to be refined in order to integrate the functioning of the proposed adaptation mediators and therefore to get a detailed architectural model (see Figure 1, classes in grey). Each exogenous mediator indicated in the architectural model will be replaced by an entity of component type labelled component-of-services (B1) which aims to encapsulate entities of service type in order to eliminate the heterogeneity between related entities of different types by constructing common communication interfaces. Each endogenous mediator becomes a mediation connector (B2) which is dedicated to ensure the compatibility of exchanged data. Therefore, the proposed architecture description language relies on the integration of mediators and the description of their functioning to remedy the heterogeneity problems arising during the composition.

Figure 1  HMA-AD metamodel
3.2 Adaptation mediators’ specification

After defining the architectural model for the desired heterogeneous mobile application, our paradigm intended to specify more precisely the role of integrated adaptation mediators.

On the one hand, exogenous mediators (see Figure 2) are designed to ensure communication between two software entities of different types. Given that the software entities cannot communicate by reason of their heterogeneous implementation forms, exogenous mediators are intended to encapsulate these interconnected entities in such a way that they can interact with each other. This kind of adaptation mediators aims to build common and well-formed interfaces for heterogeneous software entities to take advantage of their services but just by manipulating the necessary inputs and outputs regardless of their implementation details. Specifically, in the case of an exogenous coordination between a component and a service, the service will be encapsulated (B3, Figure 1) within the new entity component-of-services (see Figure 2). This latter may encapsulate one or more cooperated services where he plays the role of the engine defined in services orchestration. Initially, it aims to trigger the execution of the service that he includes by providing required data received through its required port by means of a triggered request (B4, Figure 1). After, it releases the obtained result using its provided port by triggering another request of response (B5, Figure 1). This ensures the communication between the source and target entities using compatible interfaces.

On the other hand, endogenous mediators (see Figure 3) reflect the mediation services to be selected for the processing of the exchanged data which are heterogeneous. In this case, each composition relation attached with this type of mediators will be connectors having complex interactions. These mediation connectors are designed to convert the exchanged data by calling the appropriate transformation services, while using his required roles (B6, Figure 1) to receive the heterogeneous data and his provided role (B7, Figure 1) to disseminate the transformed data.

Our paradigm represents this connector as *glue* that defines tow functions. The first one serves to search the appropriate mediation service (Search-MD: Searching a Mediation Service) based on the types of the exchanged data Input-data Type (B8, Figure 1) and Output-data Type (B9, Figure 1) in the mediation services’ library; while the second function aims to call the found transformation service (Call-MD: Calling a Mediation Service) to ensure the compatibility of the heterogeneous exchanged data.

Owing to the fact that exogenous mediators will eliminate the heterogeneity between two software entities of different types by encapsulating services in a specific software component, the use links will connect only (component/component) or (component/component-of-services).

3.3 Types of composition treated by our multi-paradigm

The proposed multi-paradigm treats four types of composition:

a. Exogenous composition: composing software entities of different type (i.e. haven’t the same implementation forms).

b. Endogenous composition: composing software entities of the same type.

c. Heterogeneous composition: composing software entities which do not have the same nature (i.e. handle heterogeneous data).

d. Homogeneous composition: composing software entities which have the same nature.

Each exogenous or heterogeneous composition requires attaching specific adaptation mediator in accordance with the type of this composition relation. Our paradigm allows performing *endogenous homogeneous composition* without any adaptation and thus obtaining homogeneous mobile applications. We have previously indicated that a composition relation between two software entities is represented by a precedence link to indicate the order of invocation and/or a use link to express the flow of exchanged data between them. For this purpose, the different combinations between software bricks of the desired mobile application can take the following forms (see Table 1).
4 Implementation

4.1 Implementing the proposed metamodel HMA-AD

Eclipse platform provides graphical tools to facilitate editing EMF models (Eclipse Modelling Framework). EMF aims to describe the domain model (metamodel) under the extension *.ecore. We have relied on this technology to implement the proposed metamodel HMA-AD as shown in Figure 4.

The metamodel shown in Figure 1 reflects just a general vision on the proposed description language to design the architectural model of the desired mobile application. That is why we have used EMF technology to provide the detailed definition of the grammar of this language including all necessary concepts expressed by classes and all composition relations between these classes in accordance with notations presented by UML as indicated in Figure 4.

The architecture of the desired mobile application includes a set of concrete software entities defined in the abstract level (Concrete-Entity class). A software entity can be either a component (Component class) or a service (Service Class) or other (Application class) while the Composite-CE class reflects a composite software entity. Each concrete software entity must be attached by its required data (Input-Data class) through the relation represented by CE2Input-Relation class and its provided data (Output-Data class) using the relation represented by CE2Output-Relation class.

The required data of a software component will be represented by required ports (Required-Port class) while the provided data will be represented by provided ports (Provided-Port class). As well as, the provided and required data for a concrete entity of service or application type will be represented respectively via Provided-Service class, Required-Service class, OutputData-App class, and InputData-App class.

The precedence link (Precedence-Link class) which connects two entities of different types will be attached by exogenous mediator (Exogenous-Mediator class) through the relation expressed by PL2ExMed-Relation class. The use link (Use-Link class) which connects two entities of different nature will be attached by endogenous mediators (Endogenous-Mediator class) through the relation expressed by UL2EnMed-Relation class. Each entity is associated with its execution profile (Execution-Profile class) through the relation expressed by ExProfile2CE-Relation class as well as the desired heterogeneous mobile application must be attached to its own execution profile via the relation expressed by ExProfile2CMA-Relation class.

These architectural elements (white classes) are dedicated to define the architecture of the desired heterogeneous mobile application. The obtained architectural model is dedicated to be refined in order to specify the roles of the integrated mediators and thus obtain an architecture that describes the detailed specification for the implementation of the concrete mobile application. The classes in grey illustrated in the HMA-AD.ecore metamodel denote the architectural elements that will be used to replace the endogenous and exogenous mediators that are indicated in the architectural model.

An endogenous mediator that aims to ensure the compatibility of the exchanged data will be replaced by a mediation connector (MediationConnector class). This connector has a required role (RequiredRole class) and another provided (ProvidedRole class). The required role is dedicated to support the data to be transformed which is provided by the source entity whereas the provided role aims to support the transformed data extracted from this mediation connector with the objective to transfer this obtained result to the target entity.

As we have stated previously, this connector needs to know the type of data in transforming and the required type in which the data must be transformed in order to call the appropriate mediation service (i.e. to perform the necessary transformations). These informations will be represented via InputDataType class and OutputDataType class. A mediation connector aims to compare these types of data in order to execute, in the case of non-compatibility, two methods named respectively Search-MD and Call-MD. The first method is used to search the necessary mediation service to ensure the compatibility of heterogeneous data and the second one to execute it.

An exogenous mediator aims to provide well-formed and compatible interfaces in order to ensure the communication between exogenous concrete entities. It will be replaced by a new software entity that is represented by Component-of-services class. This architectural element encapsulates entities of service type that are connected with software components (see the composition relation between Service class and Component-of-services class). Therefore, this new entity is regarded itself as a software component (parent entity) which includes a set of services and has provided ports (ProvidedPort class) and required ports (RequiredPort class). The required and provided services (RequiredService class and ProvidedService class) of the encapsulated service entity will be transformed respectively into required and provided ports for the parent entity. The input data represented by the required port will be transferred via a request (Request class) to trigger the appropriate service.

Consequently, a response (ResponseRequest class) for this request will be retrieved and transmitted to a provided port of the parent entity.
4.2 Graphical modelling of architectural elements

After defining the architectural description language of heterogeneous mobile applications, we now aim to provide a graphical representation for the instances of this language. The instantiation of an architectural model reflects the operation of creating a model conforms to the metamodel defined in EMF technology which can be done in two ways:

a. Instantiate the proposed metamodel and have a model in XMI format.

b. Generate a graphical editor from the proposed metamodel using GMF technology (Graphical Modelling Language) (Biermann et al., 2006) to allow the creation of graphical models that conform to this metamodel.

In this research work, we adopted GMF technology to generate specific description palette which allows drawing graphically the architectural model for any mobile application. Figure 5 shows the proposed graphical palette that defines all necessary graphical elements to describe the architectural model of the desired mobile application. The graphic syntax proposed to express the different architectural elements introduced in the HMA-AD.ecore metamodel is indicated in Section 4.4 by Figures 6 and 7.

Therefore, each concept defined in the HMA-AD.ecore metamodel has its equivalence in graphic architectural elements that are dedicated to graphically define the heterogeneous mobile application’s architecture.
4.3 Crossing algorithm to obtain detailed architectural description

The passage from the architectural model to the detailed architectural description reflects a substitute operation which is performed by running a sequence of transformation rules defined in a specific order while respecting a set of composition constraints. This passage is done through the algorithm shown in Table 2. The proposed algorithm defines an execution strategy of necessary passage rules respecting all composition constraints to have the detailed architectural model. These constraints reflect the specifications that we have proposed to represent the endogenous and exogenous mediators. The proposed algorithm designed to manage precedence links and use links with the objective of detecting those that are associated with mediators where:

- Whenever an endogenous mediator is found, it triggers a set of rules to replace it with a mediation connector; and
- Whenever an exogenous mediator is found, it triggers a set of rules to replace it with a Component-of-service entity. After encapsulated the service entity, our algorithm must perform a test to check if the service entity is linked with another entity of the same type. So it designed to encapsulate all related entities that are of service type until it comes to a composition relation between service/component.

Table 2 Proposed crossing algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pseudo code</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 For each SC i { }</td>
<td>\begin{itemize} \item Managing Precedence Links (PL) \item If (PLi attached with ExMed) then { } \item If (CibleSE in Service) then { } \item Execute Delete Embedded Rule ; \item Execute Delete PL(SourceSE, CibleSE) Rule ; \item Execute Add MedConnector Rule ; \item Execute Encapsulate (CibleSE) Rule ; \item Execute AddPL Link (SourceSE, CompOfSer) ; \item For each UL (SourceSE, CibleSE) { } \item Delete UL; \item Delete ProSer (SourceSE); }</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 IF (PLi attached with ExMed) then { }</td>
<td>\begin{itemize} \item If we find an exogenous mediator (Ex-Med) \item If the target entity of the PL of service type \item Delete the Ex-Med \item Add a new entity (ComponentOfService) \item Encapsulate the service entity in the added parent entity \item Restore the PL between the source entity and the added parent entity \item Restore the use links (ULs) that were between the component entity and the service entity for that will be ULs between the component entity and the new added entity \item Transforming the required service (Req-Ser) of the service entity to a required port (Req-Por) for the parent entity \item Executing a transformation rule to add the Req-Por to the new entity \item Adding a request between the Req-Por and the service entity in order to trigger the service and transfer the required data for its execution \item The provided service (Prov-Ser) of the source entity (component type) and the new Req-Por of the parent entity \item Remove the previous UL \item Remove the Req-Ser of the target service entity \item Check if the target entity which connects the service entity is also of service type \item Encapsulating the target entity of the encapsulated service entity because it is also of service type \item Adding a PL between the source service entity and the target service entity \item Managing UL between those two entities \item The provided service (Prov-Ser) of the source service entity becomes a Prov-Por for the parent entity \item Execute this rule that adds this Prov-Por \item Add a response request between the added Prov-Por of the parent entity and the source service entity \item Encapsulate the service entity in the added parent entity \item Add a new entity (ComponentOfService) \item Delete the Ex-Med \item If the target entity of the PL of service type \item If we find an exogenous mediator (Ex-Med) \item Managing Precedence Links (PL) \item Check if UL is attached with an End-Med \item Execute Delete UL (SourceSE,ProvPort,CibleSE) Rule ; \item CibleSE.ReqPort = CibleSE.Cible.Cible.Cible ; \item If (UL, att =chished with EmbMed) then { } \item (Execute Delete UL (SourceSE,ProvPort,CibleSE) Rule ;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Composition scenario description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionality</th>
<th>Impl-Type</th>
<th>Required data</th>
<th>Provided data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquire Photo</td>
<td>Component</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Photo of jpg type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read Barcode</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Photo of WebP type</td>
<td>Barcode of integer type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Product-Name</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Barcode of integer type</td>
<td>Name of string type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input Price</td>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Name of string type</td>
<td>Price of integer type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search Price</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Price of integer type</td>
<td>Convenient price of integer type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Get Position</td>
<td>Component</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Position of string type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search the Neighborhood</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Position of string type</td>
<td>Nearby Shops of string type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share Price</td>
<td>Service</td>
<td>Nearby shops of string type</td>
<td>Convenient price of integer type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Constituent software entities

Invocation orders


Collaboration schema of exchanged data

Read Barcode needs Photo of WebP type, Get Product-Name needs Barcode of integer type, Input Price needs Name of the product of String type, Search Price needs Price of Integer type and Name of the product of String type, Search the Neighborhood needs Position of String type and Convenient Price of Integer type, Share Price needs Convenient Price of Integer type and Nearby Shops of String Type.
An emerging multi-paradigm

Figure 6 Architectural model of the ShopReview mobile application (see online version for colours)

Figure 7 Detailed architectural model of ShopReview mobile application (see online version for colours)
5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a new multi-paradigm to facilitate for designers the modelling of heterogeneous mobile applications in order to meet user’s needs and to cope with the mobile devices heterogeneity (i.e. obtaining adaptive mobile applications).

Firstly, we proposed a metamodel called HMA-AD which allows modelling the functional core of any mobile application using heterogeneous conceptual bricks. After, a detailed specification for the implementation of the concrete application will be obtained through an algorithm. This latter performs a set of passage rules in a specific order in accordance with the proposed specifications for adaptation mediators required to eliminate heterogeneity problems.

Therefore, we presented in this paper a conceptual regard for the heterogeneous mobile applications including their conception and the specification of the necessary adaptation mediators.

We plan in our future work to realise the proposed exogenous and endogenous mediators by providing the concrete structure of the new entity component-of-services as well as the mediation connector based on the conceptual structures proposed in this paper.
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